UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE Dipartimento di Economia e Finanza

Working Paper Series

The Impact of the ECB Banking Supervision Announcements on the EU Stock Market

Angelo Baglioni, Andrea Monticini, David Peel

Working Paper n. 112

November 2021



The Impact of the ECB Banking Supervision Announcements on the EU Stock Market

Angelo Baglioni

Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore

Andrea Monticini

Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore

David Peel

University of Lancaster

Working Paper n. 112 November 2021

Dipartimento di Economia e Finanza Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Largo Gemelli 1 - 20123 Milano – Italy tel: +39.02.7234.2976 - fax: +39.02.7234.2781 e-mail: dip.economiaefinanza@unicatt.it

The Working Paper Series promotes the circulation of research results produced by the members and affiliates of the Dipartimento di Economia e Finanza, with the aim of encouraging their dissemination and discussion. Results may be in a preliminary or advanced stage. The Dipartimento di Economia e Finanza is part of the Dipartimenti e Istituti di Scienze Economiche (DISCE) of the Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore.

The Impact of the ECB Banking Supervision Announcements on the EU Stock Market

by

Angelo Baglioni

Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Via Necchi 5 20123 Milan, Italy email: angelo.baglioni@unicatt.it

Andrea Monticini

Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Via Necchi 5 20123 Milan, Italy email: andrea.monticini@unicatt.it

David Peel

University of Lancaster Lancaster, UK email: d.peel@lancaster.ac.uk

Abstract

We study the impact of ECB's supervisory announcements on the Bank Stock index, from 2013 through 2017. Our evidence shows that the news, related to supervisory actions, do have highly significant effects on the market price of banks, contributing to the volatility of the Bank Stock Index for Europe and Italy. Most announcements signal the need to raise more regulatory capital and lead to negative returns in the stock market, thus increasing the cost of raising new capital. Our study is related to previous ones (by Bernanke and Kuttner) focusing on the impact of monetary policy announcements on the stock exchange.

Keywords: Banking Supervision, ECB, GARCH, Stock Market

JEL codes: G21, G28

17 November 2021

1 Introduction

This paper addresses the impact of ECB announcements, related to banking supervision, on the market price of banks. We study the effect of 74 announcements released by the European Central Bank, as head of the Single Supervisory Mechanism, over the period 2013¹ through 2017. The market price of banks is measured by the Euro Banking Stock Index. In addition, a focus is made on the Italian Banking Stock Index, given the relevance of some specific supervisory matters for Italy in recent years.

Determining the impact of supervisors announcements on the market valuation and volatility of banks share prices appears of interest for at least two reasons. First the transparency and the efficiency of the various dimensions of the ECB communication policy has been questioned in the past. For example see Resti (2018)[9] on lack of transparency of the Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process, Schoenmaker and Veron (2016) [10] on the need of more transparency about supervisory data and Baglioni (2016) [1] on the communication of stress test results. Second, many supervisory announcements have a restrictive content, by introducing the need to raise more regulatory capital. An example is the well-known "addendum" to the guidance on non-performing loans (4 October 2017) introducing a tight calendar provisioning for NPLs. All these kind of announcements tend to depress the market valuation of banks, thus making more costly for them to raise new equity in the market. This effect, in turn, can induce banks to react to the supervisory action, at least in the short run, by cutting assets rather than by increasing their capital base, in orderto meet the regulatory requirement on the capital/risk weighted assets ratio. When this is the case, the short run cost of restrictive prudential actions could be substantial in terms of a credit supply crunch and a negative impact on GDP

Two streams of literature are related to our research. The first one addresses the impact of supervisory actions on the supply of bank loans and on the aggregate economic activity. The evidence provided by those studies is broadly consistent with the view that regulatory restrictions, by demanding more capital, lead banks to cut their supply of loans in the short run, with negative consequences for aggregate output. This argument does not deny the long run benefit of a more stable financial system, it simply highlights the cost to be paid in the transition towards a higher capital-to-asset ratio. An example is the study by Conti Nobili Signoretti (2018) [3], documenting the negative impact on credit supply and economic activity of three regulatory episodes, leading to an increase of bank required capital: the Basel III reform (2009), the EBA stress test and capital exercise (2011), and the first comprehensive assessment carried out by the ECB (2014).

Another set of studies have looked at the link between monetary policy and the stock market. This link is relevant for the policy transmission mechanism. Announcements made by the central bank can change the value of households assets ("wealth effect") and the cost of capital for firms. Stock market fluctuations can be a source of macroe-

¹ Despite the fact that the SSM started to be officially operational on 4 November 2014, some announcements were released before that date, during the preparation period. For example, the first comprehensive assessment was announced on 23 October 2013.

conomic volatility. These issues have been highlighted by Bernanke and Kuttner (2005) [2], finding that unexpected changes of the federal funds rate target have a significant impact on US stock market indexes (a 25 b.p. cut of the policy rate leads to a 1% increase of the stock market index).

Year	Number of Announcements
2013	3
2014	20
2015	11
2016	20
2017	20
Total Number of Ann.	74

2 The Announcements

Tab. 1: number of announcements.

All the announcements used in our analysis are taken from the website of the ECB^2 . The number of announcements per year is reported in Table 1. They are identified in Table 2 by their release date, so each announcement can be easily recovered and read in the relevant press release. There are several types of announcements made by the ECB in its supervisory role. Most of them are classified as "guidance": they are used by the ECB to inform banks about its own expectations, relative to the behavior of banks in specific areas, and they play an important role in the supervisory dialogue with individual banks. An example is the guidance on tackling non-performing loans (20 March 2017) which was followed by the "addendum" (4 October 2017) introducing the so-called "calendar provisioning": banks are expected to provide full coverage of new NPLs within two years (seven years for the secured portion). The ECB also releases regulations and amendments to previous regulations, introducing binding rules and procedures for supervised banks. Sometimes the ECB launches a public consultation ahead of the publication of a new regulation, in order to get comments and feedbacks from market participants. An example is the consultation on the amendment to the regulation on financial reporting, introducing the expected loss impairment model, as requested by the new reporting standard for financial instruments IFRS 9 (17 February 2017). Another type of announcements is related to the harmonization of options and national discretions: an example is the release of a guideline and a recommendation, addressed to the National Competent Authorities, in order to further harmonize the way less significant banks are supervised (13 April 2017). Other announcements are related to the methodology and the outcomes of periodical stress tests (an example is the press release of 29 July 2016). While the majority of announcements concern the banking sector as a whole, at least significant banks, some press releases inform

² https://www.bankingsupervision.europa.eu/press/pr/html/index.en.html

the market of supervisory actions concerning an individual bank (for example the declaration that a bank is "failing or likely to fail").

We have classified the 74 announcements included in our sample into three categories, depending on the supervisory signal sent to the financial market: either "more restrictive" or "less restrictive", or "neutral". An example of announcement signaling more restriction is the above mentioned addendum to the guidance on NPLs: the tight calendar provisioning, introduced through the addendum, demands banks to account for the losses accumulated in their loan portfolio, creating the need to raise new regulatory capital and/or to cut loans in order to meet the minimum capital ratios set by the prudential regulation. An example of announcement signaling less restriction is that reporting the outcomes of the 2016 stress test. The picture emerging from the stress test was very positive (all banks, with one exception, showed capital levels above the benchmark of 5.5% in the adverse scenario) inducing the ECB to state that "supervisory capital expectations will remain broadly stable": a way to signal that in general no capital increase was needed for the coming year. An example of neutral announcement is the periodical assessment of the number of banks classified as "significant": although this news can be quite relevant for a few individual banks (those entering or exiting the set of banks directly supervised by the ECB) it is not expected to have an aggregate impact on the banking sector as a whole. Announcements concerning individual banks are considered as neutral, since by definition they do not directly impact on the banking sector as a whole.

An important caveat must be made, concerning the expected /unexpected components of the news included in our sample. The above mentioned research, addressing the impact of monetary policy announcements on the stock market, was able to identify the unexpected component of changes in the federal funds rate target, by focusing on the change in futures contracts price relative to the day prior to a monetary policy action. Unfortunately, this tool is unavailable for news reporting supervisory actions, which are qualitative in nature. Therefore, in our sample it is not possible to disentangle the two components of the news: expected and unexpected. This problem presumably leads us to under-estimate the impact of the supervisory actions on the stock market, since some actions might be partially anticipated by market participants, limiting their observed impact on the stock exchange index on the day of announcement. Even more, this problem can introduce some uncertainty as far as the sign of estimated coefficients is concerned. For example a restrictive action, with a potential negative impact on the stock market, might be interpreted as less restrictive than expected when it is officially communicated: if this is the case, we might observe a positive impact on the announcement day, which sounds counter-intuitive. Despite these limitations, we are able to show that the news related to supervisory actions do have a relevant impact on the market price of banks, quite often with a high statistical significance.

3 The model

The stock market prices (and the returns) react to Central Bank monetary policy announcements. Cook and Hahn 1989 (See Cook and Hahn 1989[4]) measure the impact of monetary policy decisions on interest rates by regressing the changes in the federal

funds rate target on market interest rates. They find a significant reaction. The same empirical model is estimated by Kuttner 2001[8]. We use a similar model to test the relationship between the banking stock index returns and the news produced by the ECB banking supervision announcements. The main difference with Cook and Hahn 1989 [4] depends on the qualitative rather than quantitative nature of the banking supervision announcements. Moreover, to disentangle the expected from the unexpected ECB banking supervision announcement we could follow the approach suggested by Kuttner 2001 (See Kuttner 2001[8]) which is based on future contract prices, but unfortunately, there is no future contract neither on the EURO Bank Stock Index nor on the Italian Bank Stock Index. In the details, we set (as independent variables) a specific 0, 1 dummy variable for every announcement. The dummy variable takes 1 after the announcement and 0 otherwise. To account the potential breakdown in the euro area, we introduce the 10Year BTP-BUND (bond) spread as an explanatory variable. We use two different dependent variables: the Euro Banking Stock Index return and the Italian Banking Stock index return. Moreover, to remove the autocorrelation in the errors, some lags of the dependent variable have been included as regressors (to decide the number of lags we have used the Bayesian Information Criterion, BIC). Finally, we have used a GARCH(1,1) model to take into account the conditional heteroskedasticity of the time series (see both fig. 1 and fig. 2). The analysis covers the period 1st January 2013 to 31st December 2017, using daily data. The data source is Bloomberg.

The Impact on the Euro Banking Stock

$$r_t^{eu_bank} = \mu + \rho_1 r_{t-1} + \rho_2 r_{t-2} + \lambda_0 s_t + \sum_{i=1}^{74} \beta_i \gamma_i + h_t^{1/2} \varepsilon_t$$
(1)

where $r_t^{eu_bank}$ is the Euro Bank Stock Index return (the time series plot is in fig. 1) γ_i is a 1,0 dummy variable which is equal to one on day and after the ECB Banking Supervision announcements and zero otherwise, s_t is the 10Year BTP-BUND (bond) spread at time t, $h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_t + \alpha_2 h_{t-1}$ and $\varepsilon_t \sim IN(0, 1)$

The Impact on Italian Banking Stock

$$r_t^{ita_bank} = \mu + \rho_1 r_{t-1} + \rho_2 r_{t-2} + \lambda_0 s_t + \lambda_1 s_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{74} \beta_i \gamma_i + h_t^{1/2} \varepsilon_t$$
(2)

where $r_t^{ita_bank}$ is the Italian Bank Stock Index return (the time series plot is in fig. 2) γ is a 1,0 dummy variable which is equal to one on days after the ECB Banking Supervision announcements and zero otherwise, s_t is the 10Year BTP-BUND (bond) spread at time t, s_t is the 10Year BTP-BUND (bond) spread at time t, s_t is the 10Year BTP-BUND (bond) spread at time t - 1, $h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_t + \alpha_2 h_{t-1}$ and $\varepsilon_t \sim IN(0, 1)$

4 Estimation results and discussion

The estimation results are reported in Table 2. They rely on the reasonable assumption that no other relevant news, related to the banking market, is released in the same days of the supervisory announcements included in our data set. As it can be seen, most of the estimated coefficients are significantly different from zero, confirming our a priori that supervisory announcements have a remarkable impact on the market price of banks, contributing to the volatility of the Bank Stock Index. In order to obtain robust emprical evidence, we have estimated many other models. For example, to stress possible asymmetric effect between "good" and "bad news", we have estimated the two models ((1)) ((2)) using EGARCH errors (see Engle and Ng 1993 [5]). Moreover, following Hansen Huang Shek Howard 2012 [7] as well as Hansen and Huang 2016 [6], we have estimated the two models ((1)) ((2)) using realized measures of volatility. The results are similar to those reported in Table 2 and available upon request.

We will not discuss every single coefficient of the regressions. We limit ourselves to go through some examples. As expected, the release of the guidance on tackling NPLs (2017/3/20) and of the "addendum" (2017/10/4) introducing the calendar provisioning had a negative impact on the Bank Stock Index return. Not surprisingly, the impact of such restrictive supervisory actions has been stronger on the Italian banks, which in the past have accumulated a stock of NPLs much higher than the other European banks have done on average. A strong negative impact on the banking market can be observed when the outcomes of the 2014 comprehensive assessment were released (2014/10/26), bringing very bad news: a capital shortfall of 25 billion for 25 banks (as an outcome of the stress test) together with a value adjustment of 37 billion (as an outcome of the Asset Quality Review), implying an overall impact of 62 billion on European banks. Again, a stronger impact can be observed for Italy, where the number of capital deficiencies was larger than in other countries. Also the news related to supervisory fees, to be paid mostly by significant institutions, can negatively affect the banking market: this effect is particularly strong when an increase of the burden due to such fees is announced, as it was the case in 2016 (28 April). The number of good news, coming from supervisory actions, is lower. An example is the announcement of a stress test exercise focusing on interest rate risk (2017/02/28), following the release of a new standard by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. In the press release announcing the stress test, the ECB made explicit that no additional capital demand was expected as an outcome of the exercise (at the aggregate level): not surprisingly, the reaction of the Bank Stock Index has been positive.

Finally, notice that the coefficient of the BTP-Bund spread is negative and highly significant, as expected. A wider spread signals a higher tension in the market for sovereign debt, not only for Italy but also for some other high debt countries. This has a negative impact on the market valuation of banks, which generally hold in their portfolios of securities a large amount of domestic Government bonds. In the most acute phase of the sovereign debt crisis (2012 - 2014), a wider spread between the Government bond yields of high debt countries and that of Germany was taken as a signal that the risk of break-up of the euro area was higher, threatening the financial system.

5 Concluding remarks

We have documented the impact of the ECB announcements, related to its supervisory actions, on the stock market. Our evidence shows that such announcements have a remarkable effect on the Bank Stock Index for Europe and even more so for Italy, contributing to increase the volatility of the market price of banks. Many announcements have a negative impact, thus increasing the cost of raising new capital for banks. Our results point to the importance of an efficient communication strategy by central banks, as far as supervisory matters are concerned. While the same point has been made by previous studies related to monetary policy announcements, this is the first paper (as far as we know) addressing this issue in the area of banking supervision.

Regressor	Dependent	Dependent Variable	
Day	r^{eu}	r^{ita}	
μ	$\underset{(0.102)}{0.062}$	$\underset{(0.110)}{0.067}$	
$ ho_1$	$-0.047^{*}_{(0.028)}$	$0.057^{st}_{(0.030)}$	
$ ho_2$		-0.089^{**} (0.025)	
2013/09/12	$0.276^{st}_{(0.152)}$	$0.366^{*}_{(0.211)}$	
2013/10/23	-0.541^{***} (0.154)	-0.719^{***} (0.212)	
2013/12/16	$0.695^{**}_{(0.309)}$	$0.922^{***}_{(0.243)}$	
2014/01/09	$\begin{array}{c} -0.238 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (0.371) \end{array}$	$\underset{(0.284)}{-0.206}$	
2014/01/22	-0.690^{***} (0.206)	-1.050^{**}	
2014/02/03	$0.628^{*}_{(0.337)}$	$1.095^{***}_{(0.178)}$	
2014/02/07	$\begin{array}{c}-0.198\\\scriptscriptstyle(0.332)\end{array}$	$\underset{(0.283)}{-0.137}$	
2014/03/07	-1.049^{***} (0.244)	-0.844^{**}	
2014/03/11	1.038^{***} (0.246)	$\substack{0.632^{**}\\(0.2752)}$	
2014/04/25	-0.595^{***} (0.198)	-0.576^{**} $_{(0.253)}$	
2014/04/29	0.630^{***} (0.209)	$\underset{(0.465)}{0.345}$	
2014/05/27	$\begin{array}{c} -0.062 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (0.147) \end{array}$	$\underset{(0.364)}{0.000}$	
2014/07/17	$\begin{array}{c}-0.307\\\scriptscriptstyle (0.187)\end{array}$	$\underset{(0.225)}{-0.357}$	
2014/07/23	$0.485^{*}_{(0.254)}$	$0.484^{st}_{(0.254)}$	
2014/09/04	0.520^{***} (0.219)	1.230^{***} (0.229)	
2014/09/08	-0.987^{***} $_{(0.244)}$	-1.525^{**}	
2014/10/10	0.685^{**} (0.288)	$\underset{(0.417)}{0.428}$	
2014/10/22	0.416 (0.321)	$\underset{(0.477)}{0.744}$	

Regressor	Dependent Variable	
Day	r^{eu}	r^{ita}
2014/10/23	$\underset{(0.068)}{0.021}$	$0.291^{\ast}_{(0.158)}$
2014/10/26	$\begin{array}{c c} -2.0224^{***} \\ (0.266) \end{array}$	$-2.311^{***}_{(0.359)}$
2014/10/30	$1.429^{***}_{(0.271)}$	$\underset{(0.492)}{0.426}$
2014/11/04	$\begin{array}{c} -0.374 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (0.244) \end{array}$	$\underset{(0.469)}{0.481}$
2014/12/22	$\begin{array}{c} -0.023 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (0.409) \end{array}$	$\underset{(0.239)}{0.250}$
2015/01/01	$\begin{array}{c} -0.060 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (0.375) \end{array}$	$\underset{(0.382)}{0.245}$
2015/01/29	$1.044^{***}_{(0.269)}$	$\underset{(0.432)}{0.421}$
2015/02/19	$\begin{array}{c c} -0.565^{*} \\ \scriptstyle (0.309) \end{array}$	-0.469^{*} $_{(0.274)}$
2015/03/26	-0.082 (0.237)	$\underset{(0.196)}{-0.092}$
2015/04/29	-0.182 (0.126)	$\underset{(0.132)}{-0.140}$
2015/10/09	$\begin{array}{c} -0.111 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (0.158) \end{array}$	$\underset{(0.154)}{-0.177}$
2015/10/31	$0.926^{***}_{(0.278)}$	-0.616^{***} $_{(0.196)}$
2015/11/05	$\left \begin{array}{c} -0.504^{*} \\ \scriptstyle (0.306) \end{array} \right $	-0.448^{***} (0.205)
2015/11/11	-1.437^{***} (0.224)	-0.978^{***} (0.243)
2015/11/14	$1.119^{***} \\ (0.252)$	$0.910^{***}_{(0.289)}$
2015/12/30	$-1.398^{***}_{(0.314)}$	-1.543^{***} (0.317)
2016/01/06	$0.832^{st}_{(0.433)}$	$0.889^{st}_{(0.482)}$
2016/02/19	$0.884^{*}_{(0.521)}$	$\underset{(0.468)}{0.659}$
2016/03/24	$\begin{smallmatrix} 0.315 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (0.540) \end{smallmatrix}$	$\underset{(0.511)}{-0.258}$
2016/04/28	-1.828^{***} (0.647)	-1.445^{***} (0.548)

Regressor	Dependent Variab	
Day	r^{eu}	r^{ita}
2016/05/10	$1.289^{***}_{(0.330)}$	0.920^{***} (0.243)
2016/05/18	$\underset{(0.904)}{0.088}$	$\underset{(0.559)}{0.247}$
2016/06/21	$-2.190^{*}_{(1.278)}$	$\underset{(1.284)}{-2.103}$
2016/07/12	$2.225^{***}_{(0.829)}$	$2.685^{***}_{(0.971)}$
2016/07/29	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000 \\ \scriptscriptstyle (0.478) \end{array}$	$\underset{(0.556)}{-0.904}$
2016/08/10	$\begin{array}{c}-0.250\\\scriptscriptstyle(0.488)\end{array}$	$0.967^{\ast}_{(0.546)}$
2016/09/12	-0.359 $_{(0.357)}$	$\underset{(0.524)}{-0.567}$
2016/11/03	$1.386^{***}_{(0.334)}$	$\underset{(0.593)}{-0.170}$
2016/11/04	$\begin{array}{c}-0.178\\\scriptscriptstyle(0.181)\end{array}$	$2.005^{***}_{(0.533)}$
2016/11/14	0.689^{***} (0.123)	$\underset{(0.509)}{0.755}$
2016/11/15	-2.506^{***} (0.215)	-4.750^{***} (0.330)
2016/11/21	0.886^{***} (0.297)	2.474^{***} (0.532)
2016/11/23	$-0.643^{*}_{(0.335)}$	$\underset{(0.546)}{-0.600}$
2016/11/28	$\underset{(0.487)}{0.670}$	1.410^{***}
2016/12/15	$\underset{(0.485)}{2.519}^{***}$	$-0.818^{st}_{(0.430)}$
2016/12/16	-2.816^{***} (0.163)	$-0.293^{*}_{(0.176)}$
2017/02/17	$-0.342^{*}_{(0.189)}$	$\underset{(0.189)}{-0.049}$
2017/02/28	$1.071^{***}_{(0.203)}$	$0.968^{***}_{(0.194)}$
2017/03/20	-0.726^{***} (0.192)	-0.864^{***} (0.219)
2017/04/13	0.856^{***} $_{(0.442)}$	0.950^{**}
2017/04/28	-0.811^{**} (0.364)	$\underset{(0.381)}{-0.567}$
2017/05/15	$0.773^{***}_{(0.122)}$	-0.778^{***} $_{(0.134)}$
2017/05/16	$\begin{array}{ } -1.287^{***} \\ (0.194) \\ \hline -9 - \end{array}$	$\underset{(0.166)}{-0.136}$

Regressor	Dependent Variable		
Day	r^{eu}	r^{ita}	
2017/06/02	$0.377^{*}_{(0.204)}$	0.531^{**} (0.212)	
2017/06/07	-0.425^{***} (0.162)	$-0.355^{*}_{(0.209)}$	
2017/06/23	$1.176^{***}_{(0.195)}$	1.693^{***} (0.140)	
2017/06/30	-0.632^{***} (0.214)	-1.419^{***} (0.177)	
2017/07/27	$\begin{array}{c}-0.175\\\scriptscriptstyle(0.171)\end{array}$	$\underset{(0.177)}{-0.215}$	
2017/08/28	$\underset{(0.284)}{0.111}$	$\underset{(0.249)}{-0.185}$	
2017/09/15	$\begin{array}{c}-0.350\\\scriptscriptstyle(0.289)\end{array}$	$\underset{(0.260)}{-0.072}$	
2017/09/21	$1.351^{***}_{(0.127)}$	1.290^{***} (0.099)	
2017/09/25	$\begin{array}{c c} -0.744^{***} \\ (0.132) \end{array}$	-0.947^{***} (0.098)	
2017/10/04	$-0.550^{***}_{(0.087)}$	-0.624^{***} (0.113)	
2017/11/24	$0.333^{***}_{(0.097)}$	0.514^{***} (0.134)	
2017/12/05	$\underset{(0.140)}{0.161}$	$\underset{(0.195)}{-0.011}$	
2017/12/15	-0.284^{**} (0.111)	$-0.268^{*}_{(0.159)}$	
λ_0	$-0.144^{***}_{(0.008)}$	-0.129^{***} $_{(0.008)}$	
λ_1		-0.060^{***} (0.007)	
$lpha_0$	0.048^{**} (0.022)	$0.030^{st}_{(0.015)}$	
$lpha_1$	0.090^{**} (0.024)	0.076^{***} (0.021)	
$lpha_2$	$0.886^{**}_{(0.027)}$	0.910^{***} (0.022)	
N. Obs.	1267	1267	
Adj. \bar{R}^2	0.281	0.276	
F Stat.	57.488***	29.712***	
LB residuals	0.266	0.032	
$LB residuals^2$	2.31	1.005	

Z.311.005Tab. 2: Robust standard errors in brackets. (***), (**),
(*) denote statistical signif. at 1%, 5%, 10%. LB stands
for Ljung-Box Test.

Fig. 1: daily EU Bank Index Return

Fig. 2: daily ITA Bank Index Return

References.

- [1] Baglioni, A. The European Banking Union. A Critical Assessment. Palgrave Macmillan, London, 2016.
- [2] Bernanke, B. S. and Kuttner, K. N. What explains the stock market's reaction to federal reserve policy? *The Journal of Finance*, 60(3):1221–1257, 2005.
- [3] Conti, A. M., Nobili, A., and Signoretti, F. M. Bank capital constraints, lending supply and economic activity. Temi di discussione (Economic working papers) 1199, Bank of Italy, Economic Research and International Relations Area, November 2018.
- [4] Cook, T. and Hahn, T. The effect of changes in the federal funds rate target on market interest rates in the 1970s. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 24(3):331 – 351, 1989.
- [5] Engle, R. F. and Ng, V. K. Measuring and testing the impact of news on volatility. *The Journal of Finance*, 48(5):1749–1778, 1993.
- [6] Hansen, P. R. and Huang, Z. Exponential garch modeling with realized measures of volatility. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 34(2):269–287, 2016.
- [7] Hansen, P. R., Huang, Z., and Shek, H. H. Realized garch: a joint model for returns and realized measures of volatility. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 27(6):877–906, 2012.
- [8] Kuttner, K. N. Monetary policy surprises and interest rates: Evidence from the fed funds futures market. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 47(3):523 544, 2001.
- [9] Resti, A. Review of the 2017 SREP results. Report, European Parliament, November 2018.
- [10] Schoenmaker, D. and Véron, N. European banking supervision: the first eighteen months. Number 14868 in Blueprints. Bruegel, December 2016.

Working Paper del Dipartimento di Economia e Finanza

- 1. L. Colombo, H. Dawid, *Strategic Location Choice under Dynamic Oligopolistic Competition and Spillovers*, novembre 2013.
- 2. M. Bordignon, M. Gamalerio, G. Turati, *Decentralization, Vertical Fiscal Imbalance, and Political Selection*, novembre 2013.
- 3. M. Guerini, *Is the Friedman Rule Stabilizing? Some Unpleasant Results in a Heterogeneous Expectations Framework*, novembre 2013.
- 4. E. Brenna, C. Di Novi, *Is caring for elderly parents detrimental to women's mental health? The influence of the European North-South gradient*, novembre 2013.
- 5. F. Sobbrio, *Citizen-Editors' Endogenous Information Acquisition and News Accuracy*, novembre 2013.
- 6. P. Bingley, L. Cappellari, *Correlation of Brothers Earnings and Intergenerational Transmission*, novembre 2013.
- 7. T. Assenza, W. A. Brock, C. H. Hommes, *Animal Spirits, Heterogeneous Expectations and the Emergence of Booms and Busts*, dicembre 2013.
- 8. D. Parisi, *Is There Room for 'Fear' as a Human Passion in the Work by Adam Smith?*, gennaio 2014.
- 9. E. Brenna, F. Spandonaro, *Does federalism induce patients' mobility across regions? Evidence from the Italian experience*, febbraio 2014.
- 10. A. Monticini, F. Ravazzolo, Forecasting the intraday market price of money, febbraio 2014.
- 11. Tiziana Assenza, Jakob Grazzini, Cars Hommes, Domenico Massaro, PQ Strategies in Monopolistic Competition: Some Insights from the Lab, marzo 2014.
- 12. R. Davidson, A. Monticini, *Heteroskedasticity-and-Autocorrelation-Consistent Bootstrapping*, marzo 2014.
- 13. C. Lucifora, S. Moriconi, Policy Myopia and Labour Market Institutions, giugno 2014.
- 14. N. Pecora, A. Spelta, Shareholding Network in the Euro Area Banking Market, giugno 2014.
- 15. G. Mazzolini, The economic consequences of accidents at work, giugno 2014.
- 16. M. Ambrosanio, P. Balduzzi, M. Bordignon, *Economic crisis and fiscal federalism in Italy*, settembre 2014.
- 17. P. Bingley, L. Cappellari, K. Tatsiramos, *Family, Community and Long-Term Earnings Inequality*, ottobre 2014.
- 18. S. Frazzoni, M. L. Mancusi, Z. Rotondi, M. Sobrero, A. Vezzulli, *Innovation and export in SMEs: the role of relationship banking*, novembre 2014.
- 19. H. Gnutzmann, *Price Discrimination in Asymmetric Industries: Implications for Competition and Welfare*, novembre 2014.
- 20. A. Baglioni, A. Boitani, M. Bordignon, *Labor mobility and fiscal policy in a currency union*, novembre 2014.
- 21. C. Nielsen, Rational Overconfidence and Social Security, dicembre 2014.
- 22. M. Kurz, M. Motolese, G. Piccillo, H. Wu, *Monetary Policy with Diverse Private Expectations*, febbraio 2015.
- 23. S. Piccolo, P. Tedeschi, G. Ursino, *How Limiting Deceptive Practices Harms Consumers*, maggio 2015.
- 24. A.K.S. Chand, S. Currarini, G. Ursino, Cheap Talk with Correlated Signals, maggio 2015.
- 25. S. Piccolo, P. Tedeschi, G. Ursino, *Deceptive Advertising with Rational Buyers*, giugno 2015.

- 26. S. Piccolo, E. Tarantino, G. Ursino, *The Value of Transparency in Multidivisional Firms*, giugno 2015.
- 27. G. Ursino, Supply Chain Control: a Theory of Vertical Integration, giugno 2015.
- 28. I. Aldasoro, D. Delli Gatti, E. Faia, *Bank Networks: Contagion, Systemic Risk and Prudential Policy*, luglio 2015.
- 29. S. Moriconi, G. Peri, *Country-Specific Preferences and Employment Rates in Europe*, settembre 2015.
- 30. R. Crinò, L. Ogliari, *Financial Frictions, Product Quality, and International Trade*, settembre 2015.
- 31. J. Grazzini, A. Spelta, An empirical analysis of the global input-output network and its evolution, ottobre 2015.
- 32. L. Cappellari, A. Di Paolo, *Bilingual Schooling and Earnings: Evidence from a Language-in-Education Reform*, novembre 2015.
- 33. A. Litina, S. Moriconi, S. Zanaj, *The Cultural Transmission of Environmental Preferences: Evidence from International Migration*, novembre 2015.
- 34. S. Moriconi, P. M. Picard, S. Zanaj, *Commodity Taxation and Regulatory Competition*, novembre 2015.
- 35. M. Bordignon, V. Grembi, S. Piazza, *Who do you blame in local finance? An analysis of municipal financing in Italy*, dicembre 2015.
- 36. A. Spelta, A unified view of systemic risk: detecting SIFIs and forecasting the financial cycle via EWSs, gennaio 2016.
- 37. N. Pecora, A. Spelta, Discovering SIFIs in interbank communities, febbraio 2016.
- 38. M. Botta, L. Colombo, *Macroeconomic and Institutional Determinants of Capital Structure Decisions*, aprile 2016.
- 39. A. Gamba, G. Immordino, S. Piccolo, *Organized Crime and the Bright Side of Subversion of Law*, maggio 2016.
- 40. L. Corno, N. Hildebrandt, A. Voena, *Weather Shocks, Age of Marriage and the Direction of Marriage Payments,* maggio 2016.
- 41. A. Spelta, Stock prices prediction via tensor decomposition and links forecast, maggio 2016.
- 42. T. Assenza, D. Delli Gatti, J. Grazzini, G. Ricchiuti, *Heterogeneous Firms and International Trade: The role of productivity and financial fragility*, giugno 2016.
- 43. S. Moriconi, Taxation, industry integration and production efficiency, giugno 2016.
- 44. L. Fiorito, C. Orsi, Survival Value and a Robust, Practical, Joyless Individualism: Thomas Nixon Carver, Social Justice, and Eugenics, luglio 2016.
- 45. E. Cottini, P. Ghinetti, *Employment insecurity and employees' health in Denmark*, settembre 2016.
- 46. G. Cecere, N. Corrocher, M. L. Mancusi, *Financial constraints and public funding for ecoinnovation: Empirical evidence on European SMEs,* settembre 2016.
- 47. E. Brenna, L. Gitto, *Financing elderly care in Italy and Europe. Is there a common vision?*, settembre 2016.
- 48. D. G. C. Britto, Unemployment Insurance and the Duration of Employment: Theory and Evidence from a Regression Kink Design, settembre 2016.
- 49. E. Caroli, C.Lucifora, D. Vigani, *Is there a Retirement-Health Care utilization puzzle? Evidence from SHARE data in Europe*, ottobre 2016.
- 50. G. Femminis, From simple growth to numerical simulations: A primer in dynamic programming, ottobre 2016.
- 51. C. Lucifora, M. Tonello, *Monitoring and sanctioning cheating at school: What works? Evidence from a national evaluation program*, ottobre 2016.

- 52. A. Baglioni, M. Esposito, *Modigliani-Miller Doesn't Hold in a "Bailinable" World: A New Capital Structure to Reduce the Banks' Funding Cost*, novembre 2016.
- 53. L. Cappellari, P. Castelnovo, D. Checchi, M. Leonardi, *Skilled or educated? Educational reforms, human capital and earnings,* novembre 2016.
- 54. D. Britto, S. Fiorin, Corruption and Legislature Size: Evidence from Brazil, dicembre 2016.
- 55. F. Andreoli, E. Peluso, So close yet so unequal: Reconsidering spatial inequality in U.S. cities, febbraio 2017.
- 56. E. Cottini, P. Ghinetti, Is it the way you live or the job you have? Health effects of lifestyles and working conditions, marzo 2017.
- 57. A. Albanese, L. Cappellari, M. Leonardi, *The Effects of Youth Labor Market Reforms:* Evidence from Italian Apprenticeships; maggio 2017.
- 58. S. Perdichizzi, Estimating Fiscal multipliers in the Eurozone. A Nonlinear Panel Data Approach, maggio 2017.
- 59. S. Perdichizzi, The impact of ECBs conventional and unconventional monetary policies on European banking indexes returns, maggio 2017.
- 60. E. Brenna, Healthcare tax credits: financial help to taxpayers or support to higher income and better educated patients? Evidence from Italy, giugno 2017.
- 61. G. Gokmen, T. Nannicini, M. G. Onorato, C. Papageorgiou, *Policies in Hard Times:* Assessing the Impact of Financial Crises on Structural Reforms, settembre 2017.
- 62. M. Tettamanzi, E Many Pluribus Unum: A Behavioural Macro-Economic Agent Based Model, novembre 2017.
- 63. A. Boitani, C. Punzo, *Banks' leverage behaviour in a two-agent New Keynesian model*, gennaio 2018.
- 64. M. Bertoni, G. Brunello, L. Cappellari, Parents, Siblings and Schoolmates. The Effects of Family-School Interactions on Educational Achievement and Long-term Labor Market Outcomes, gennaio 2018.
- 65. G. P. Barbetta, G. Sorrenti, G. Turati, Multigrading and Child Achievement, gennaio 2018.
- 66. S. Gagliarducci, M. G. Onorato, F. Sobbrio, G. Tabellini, *War of the Waves: Radio and Resistance During World War II*, febbraio 2018.
- 67. P. Bingley, L. Cappellari, Workers, Firms and Life-Cycle Wage Dynamics, marzo 2018.
- 68. A. Boitani, S. Perdichizzi, *Public Expenditure Multipliers in recessions. Evidence from the Eurozone*, marzo 2018.
- 69. M. Le Moglie, G. Turati, *Electoral Cycle Bias in the Media Coverage of Corruption News*, aprile 2018.
- 70. R. Davidson, A. Monticini, Improvements in Bootstrap Inference, aprile 2018.
- 71. R. Crinò, G. Immordino, S. Piccolo, Fighting Mobile Crime, giugno 2018.
- 72. R. Caminal, L. Cappellari, A. Di Paolo, *Linguistic skills and the intergenerational transmission of language*, agosto 2018.
- 73. E. Brenna, L. Gitto, Adult education, the use of Information and Communication Technologies and the impact on quality of life: a case study, settembre 2018.
- 74. M. Bordignon, Y. Deng, J. Huang, J. Yang, *Plunging into the Sea: Ideological Change, Institutional Environments and Private Entrepreneurship in China*, settembre 2018.
- 75. M. Bordignon, D. Xiang, L. Zhan, *Predicting the Effects of a Sugar Sweetened Beverage Tax in a Household Production Model*, settembre 2018.
- 76. C. Punzo, L. Rossi, The Redistributive Effects of a Money-Financed Fiscal Stimulus, gennaio 2019.
- 77. A. Baglioni, L. Colombo, P. Rossi, *Debt restructuring with multiple bank relationships*, gennaio 2019.

- 78. E. Cottini, P. Ghinetti, S. Moriconi, *Higher Education Supply, Neighbourhood effects and Economic Welfare*, febbraio 2019.
- 79. S. Della Lena, F. Panebianco, *Cultural Transmission with Incomplete Information: Parental Perceived Efficacy and Group Misrepresentation*, marzo 2019.
- 80. T. Colussi, Ingo E. Isphording, Nico Pestel, *Minority Salience and Political Extremism*, marzo 2019.
- 81. G. P. Barbetta, P. Canino, S. Cima, Let's tweet again? The impact of social networks on literature achievement in high school students: Evidence from a randomized controlled trial, maggio 2019.
- 82. Y. Brilli, C. Lucifora, A. Russo, M. Tonello, *Vaccination take-up and health: evidence from a flu vaccination program for the elderly*, giugno 2019.
- 83. C. Di Novi, M. Piacenza, S. Robone, G. Turati, *Does fiscal decentralization affect regional disparities in health? Quasi-experimental evidence from Italy*, luglio 2019.
- 84. L. Abrardi, L. Colombo, P. Tedeschi, *The Gains of Ignoring Risk: Insurance with Better Informed Principals*, luglio 2019.
- 85. A. Garnero, C. Lucifora, *Turning a Blind Eye? Compliance to Minimum Wages and Employment*, gennaio 2020.
- 86. M. Bordignon, M. Gamalerio, E. Slerca, G. Turati, *Stop invasion! The electoral tipping point in anti-immigrant voting*, marzo 2020.
- 87. D. Vigani, C. Lucifora, Losing control? Unions' Representativeness, "Pirate" Collective Agreements and Wages, marzo 2020.
- 88. S. L. Comi, E. Cottini, C. Lucifora, *The effect of retirement on social relationships: new evidence from SHARE*, maggio 2020.
- 89. A. Boitani, S. Perdichizzi, C. Punzo, Nonlinearities and expenditure multipliers in the Eurozone, giugno 2020.
- 90. R. A. Ramos, F. Bassi, D. Lang, Bet against the trend and cash in profits, ottobre 2020.
- 91. F. Bassi, Chronic Excess Capacity and Unemployment Hysteresis in EU Countries. A Structural Approach, ottobre 2020.
- 92. M. Bordignon, T. Colussi, *Dancing with the Populist. New Parties, Electoral Rules and Italian Municipal Elections*, ottobre 2020.
- 93. E. Cottini, C. Lucifora, G. Turati, D. Vigani, *Children Use of Emergency Care: Differences Between Natives and Migrants in Italy*, ottobre 2020.
- 94. B. Fanfani, Tastes for Discrimination in Monopsonistic Labour Markets, ottobre 2020.
- 95. B. Fanfani, The Employment Effects of Collective Bargaining, ottobre 2020.
- 96. O. Giuntella, J. Lonsky, F. Mazzonna, L. Stella, *Immigration Policy and Immigrants' Sleep*. *Evidence from DACA*, dicembre 2020.
- 97. E. Cottini, P. Ghinetti, E. Iossa, P. Sacco, Stress and Incentives at Work, gennaio 2021.
- 98. L. Pieroni, M. R. Roig, L. Salmasi, Italy: immigration and the evolution of populism, gennaio 2021.
- 99. L. Corno, E. La Ferrara, A. Voena, *Female Genital Cutting and the Slave Trade*, febbraio 2021.

100. O. Giuntella, L. Rotunno, L. Stella, *Trade Shocks, Fertility, and Marital Behavior*, marzo 2021.

101. P. Bingley, L. Cappellari, K. Tatsiramos, *Parental Assortative Mating and the Intergenerational Transmission of Human Capital*, aprile 2021.

102. F. Devicienti, B. Fanfani, Firms' Margins of Adjustment to Wage Growth. The Case of Italian Collective Bargaining; aprile 2021.

103. C. Lucifora, A. Russo, D. Vigani, *Does prescribing appropriateness reduce health expenditures? Main e_ects and unintended outcomes*, maggio 2021.

104. T. Colussi, The Political Effects of Threats to the Nation: Evidence from the Cuban Missile Crisis, giugno 2021.

105. M. Bordignon, N. Gatti, M. G. Onorato, *Getting closer or falling apart? Euro countries after the Euro crisis*, giugno 2021.

106. E. Battistin, M. Ovidi, Rising Stars, giugno 2021.

107. D. Checchi, A. Fenizia, C. Lucifora, PUBLIC SECTOR JOBS: Working in the public sector in Europe and the US, giugno 2021.

108. K. Aktas, G. Argentin, G. P. Barbetta, G. Barbieri, L. V. A. Colombo, *High School Choices by Immigrant Students in Italy: Evidence from Administrative Data*, luglio 2021.

109. B. Fanfani, C. Lucifora, D. Vigani, *Employer Association in Italy. Trends and Economic Outcomes*, luglio 2021.

110. F. Bassi, A. Boitani, *Monetary and macroprudential policy: The multiplier effects of cooperation*, settembre 2021.

111. S. Basiglio, A. Foresta, G. Turati, *Impatience and crime. Evidence from the NLSY97*, settembre 2021.

112. A. Baglioni, A. Monticini, D. Peel, *The Impact of the ECB Banking Supervision* Announcements on the EU Stock Market, novembre 2021.